

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/863,778                             | 05/23/2001      | George A. Soli       | 41836/JWP/I267          | 2336             |
| 23363                                  | 7590 04/08/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP           |                 |                      | ALSOMIRI, ISAM A        |                  |
| PO BOX 7068<br>PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 3662                    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)    |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 09/863,778  | SOLI, GEORGE A. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit        |  |  |  |  |
|   | Isam Alsomiri   | 3662            |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | •   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 March 2005</u> .   |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.              |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 12,14-16 and 18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 12,14-16 and 18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: |                 |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 12, 14-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because:

- 1) Superluminal observations are only apparent, and they can be simply explained employing an explicitly (sub)luminal causal theory (see Vera L. Brudny "On the apparent superluminality of evanescent waves"). Therefore, the claimed invention "Superluminal" (detection and device), is only a theory (disagreement with the scientific community); therefore, it's not an asserted utility.
- 2) Detecting the Doppler redshift direction verse the Earth's motion is not possible because the device is located on Earth and Earth is the frame of reference, it is not possible to detect the redshift (different reference frame) as claimed.
- 3) It is not possible to determine the date and time from the claimed system, nor there is any clear support for it in the disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isam Alsomiri whose telephone number is 571-272-6970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,778 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isam Alsomiri

March 29, 2005

THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Mones A. Jarry